SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	X : :	
-V-	:	19-CR-648-2 (JMF)
CARLOS ALBERTO CASTANO-LOAIZA,	: :	MEMORANDUM OPINION
Defendant.	:	AND ORDER
	: X	

JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge:

On January 18, 2024, Defendant Carlos Alberto Castano-Loaiza filed a motion, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), for a reduction of his sentence pursuant to Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, which went into effect on November 1, 2023, and applies retroactively. *See* ECF No. 111. "In determining whether, and to what extent, a reduction in the defendant's term of imprisonment . . . is warranted" pursuant to Amendment 821, the Court must first "determine the amended guideline range that would have been applicable to the defendant if" the Amendment "had been in effect at the time the defendant was sentenced." U.S.S.G. § 1.10(b)(1). U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(2)(A) then provides that, with an exception not relevant here, "the court shall not reduce the defendant's term of imprisonment . . . to a term that is less than the minimum of the amended guideline range determined under subdivision (1) of this subsection." Thus, if a defendant was originally sentenced to a term of imprisonment that falls below the low end of the Guideline range, as amended, the defendant is ineligible for a reduction under Section 3582(c)(2). *See*, e.g., *United States v. Young*, 998 F.3d 43, 46 n.1 (2d Cir. 2021).

In light of the foregoing, Defendant is ineligible for a reduction in his sentence. At sentencing, Defendants' offense level was 33 and his Criminal History Category was I, yielding

a Guidelines range of 135 to 168 months' imprisonment. *See* ECF No. 80, at 7. Had Amendment 821 been in effect, Defendants' offense level would have been 31 (because he would have qualified for the "zero-point offender" adjustment in U.S.S.G. § 4C1.1) and his Guidelines range would have been 108 to 135 months' imprisonment. *See* U.S.S.G. Ch. 5, Pt. A. His sentence of 72 months' imprisonment, however, fell below the low end of that range.

This Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this Memorandum Opinion and Order would not be taken in good faith, and *in forma pauperis* status is thus denied. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants' motion is DENIED. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate ECF No. 111 and to mail a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order to:

Carlos Alberto Castano-Loaiza Register No. 63145-509 FCI Fort Dix Federal Correctional Institution P.O. BOX 2000 Joint Base MDL, NJ 08640

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 19, 2024

New York, New York

United States District Judge